Study on protein-protein interaction between hRad51 and AlkBH3

Md. Mehedi Hossain

A Dissertation Submitted to

Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for

The Degree of Master of Technology

भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान हैदराबाद
Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad

Department of Biotechnology

June, 2016

Declaration

I declare that this written submission represents my ideas in my own words, and where others' ideas or words have been included, I have adequately cited and referenced the original sources. I also declare that I have adhered to all principles of academic honesty and integrity and have not misrepresented or fabricated or falsified any idea/data/fact/source in my submission. I understand that any violation of the above will be a cause for disciplinary action by the Institute and can also evoke penal action from the sources that have thus not been properly cited, or from whom proper permission has not been taken when needed.

Md. Mehedi Hossain

MD. MEHEDI HOSSAIN

(BO14MTEC11003)

Approval Sheet

This thesis entitled Molecular characterization of hRAD51 with respect to interaction with ABH3 by Md. Mehedi Hossain is approved for the degree of Master of Technology / Doctor of Philosophy from IIT Hyderabad.

Dr. N. K. Raghavendra

Assistant Professor

Department of Biotechnology, IIT Hyderabad

Internal Examiner

Dr. G. Satyanarayan

Associate Professor

Department of Chemistry, IIT Hyderabad

External Examiner

Dr. Anindya Roy

Associate Professor

Department of Biotechnology, IIT Hyderabad

Adviser

Acknowledgements

All praise and thanks to "Allah" who is most beneficent and merciful without whose blessing nothing is possible.

I owe special thanks to my parents, brothers and sisters for their moral as well as financial support. They provided all my needs and invoking driven blessing and guidance for me, otherwise attainment of this stage would never have been possible, without the sacrifice cheerfully made by them and fall short to express my indebtedness to them.

I avail this opportunity to express my sincere, humble and deepest sense of gratitude to Dr. Anindya Roy, Assistant Professor, Department of Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology, Hyderabad, whose inspiring guidance, constructive criticism, simple and generous encouragement guided me throughout my project duration. I express my deep and sincere feeling of gratitude to him for critically reviewing and skipping up this manuscript in the present form. Really, fortunate I am, for I got the opportunity to work under the versatile, scholastic and the intellectual guidance.

It is an honor for me to express my sincere thanks to respected Dr. Basant Kumar Patel (Head of the Department), Dr. Thenmalarchelvi Rathinavelan (Assistant Professor), and Dr. N.K. Raghavendra (Assistant Professor), Department of Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology, Hyderabad for their constant moral support and encouragement.

I express my grateful thanks to Gururaj Shivange and K. Naveena, Research Scholar, Department of Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology, Hyderabad, for sharing their experience and helping me in the lab to understand some of the basic molecular biology techniques. I am also thankful to other lab members Richa Nigam, Mukul Sharma, M. Monisha, Research Scholar, Department of Biotechnology and M. Tanveer Ahmed, M. Tech. student, Department of Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology, Hyderabad.

Abstract

In this study, the interaction between the human proteins ABH3 and HRAD51, which are the human homologs of the *E.coli* proteins AlkB and RecA respectively, is analysed. The proteins, which are His-tagged are purified using *E.coli* expression system. The interaction between the proteins is analyzed using FPLC system. Even though the bacterial proteins have been shown to interact, the same could not be shown for the human homologs in this study.

Contents

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 DNA damaging alkylating agents are cytotoxic:

Every cell's genome is continuously get exposed to various environmental and endogenously generated DNA damaging alkylating agents. Major sources of internal alkylating agents are from the cellular methyl donors such as S-adenosylmetionine (SAM), a common cofactor of biochemical reactions or the by-products of oxidative damage (1-3). Cancer chemotherapy also uses some of the alkylating agents (4). Methylmethane sulfonate (MMS) is a potential alkylating agent which is normally used in experimental methylation of nucleic acids. MMS methylates double-stranded DNA and generate 7-methylguanine (7meG) and 3-methyladenine (3meA) (5). These block the DNA replication and are considered to be a lethal lesion. MMS also methylates single-stranded DNA and generates 1-methyladenine (1meA) and 3-methylcytosine (3meC) (6). ABH3 proteins belong to the alpha-ketoglutarate- and Fe(II)-dependent dioxygenase superfamily and repair 1meA, 3meC, 3meT, and 1meG by oxidative demethylation.

1.2 *E. coli* AlkB repairs methylation damage:

The *E. coli* AlkB protein eliminates damaged DNA by mechanism of oxidative dealkylation of N1-methyladenine or N3-methylcytosine DNA lesions (7). AlkB and hABH3 are found to remove 1-meA and 3-meC from RNA, suggesting that cellular DNA repair can occur failure of which leads to cell death in both E. coli [8] and human cells [9]. Comparative structural analysis of the AlkB with no ligand and AlkB with ssDNA having 1-meG

(PDB IDs: 3KHB and 3KHC, respectively) unveils many fascinating mechanistic details (10). The protein utilizes both hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonding, and spreads over five nucleotides to maintain the protein-DNA complex. The phosphodiester backbone of ssDNA binds within the DNA binding groove (electropositive) created by Thr 51, Tyr 55, Ser 129, and Lys 127 positions. This helps in the flipping of alkyl-lesions into the substrate binding center of the AlkB protein where the metal binding residues (His 131, Asp 133, and His 187) and substrate binding residues come close to each other. The base substrate binds in the active site patch formed by non-polar stacking interaction of Trp 69 and His 131 (10). These interactions help to stabilize the flipped conformation of 1meG in substrate binding center. It guides to the proper positioning of the methyl group of the 1-meG adjacent to the bound metal ion for oxidative repair. The common interactions between the amino acid residues of AlkB protein and the phosphodiester backbone or methylated base of the nucleotide does not distinguish between ribonucleotide and deoxyribonucleotide substrates, which explains the capability of AlkB to function on both RNA and DNA (10). Another crystal structures of trinucleotide substrate containing 1meA and *E. coli* AlkB complex also reveals several details of the catalytic site. The nucleotide backbone is bound in a cooperative hydrogen bonding (H-bonding) network in which the two phosphates 5' to the alkylated adenine base interact with Thr 51, Tyr 76 and Arg 161. The first two residues are located in flexible segments of the nucleotide-recognition lid, whereas Arg 161 comes from a flexible surface loop comprising residues 159–164 in the dioxygenase core. The centre of the H-bonding network is Tyr 76, which forms a bidentate H-bond bridging the two phosphates. Arg 161 makes a

salt bridge to the 5'phosphate of the alkylated adenosine explaining the importance of this phosphate for substrate affinity. However, there are no other salt bridges to the trinucleotide, and the substrate binding pocket does not carry significant net charge. The alkylated 1-methyladenine base is bound in a deep, predominantly hydrophobic cavity, where it is sandwiched between invariant residues Trp 69 from the nucleotide-recognition lid and His 131, an Fe-ligating residue in the dioxygenase core.

1.3 Structure of *E. coli* ABH3 reveals molecular mechanism of DNA repair:

ABH3 is 286 amino acid long protein and is a structural member of the Fe(II)/2OG-dependent dioxygenase superfamily (11) . ABH3 couples with DNA demethylation to conversion of 2OG into succinate, formaldehyde and CO_2. ABH3 catalytic activity is important for alkylation damage resistance (12). From the biochemical experiments it was found that ABH3 prefers dsDNA as substrates. It demethylates 1meA and 3meC in RNA (13). ABH3, like E. coli AlkB, is specific for SS DNA and RNA (14). The crystal structures of ABH3 is a beta-strand jelly-roll fold that coordinates a catalytically active iron centre using a highly conserved His1-X-Asp/Glu-Xn-His2 motif (12). A striking feature of hABH3 is three negatively charged residues (Glu123, Asp189 and Asp194) strategically located at the entrance of the DNA/RNA-binding groove and absent in AlkB. ALKBH3 gene silencing induced cell cycle arrest at the G1 phase. As a result inhibition of cell growth is observed (15). hABH3 targets genes that are undergoing transcription and thus maintains nuclear single-stranded DNA and RNA.

1.4 AlkB interacts with RecA:

The *E. coli* RecA protein is a 352 amino acid long polypeptide and have essential role in recombination. The structure of RecA protein has one large core domain, and two smaller domains at the N- and C-termini (16-18). In the active RecA filament, ATP is bound at the subunit-subunit interface (19). RecA protein binds to the single-stranded DNA with one RecA monomer for every three bases of DNA and forms nucleoprotein filament accompanied by ATP hydrolysis. This RecA filaments promote alignment with a homologous duplex DNA, strand exchange and branch migration (10). Beside nucleoprotein filament formation, RecA also has coprotease activity, which facilitates the autocatalytic cleavage of the LexA repressor. LexA is the repressor of many DNA damage-inducible genes, including *recA* and cleavage of LexA repressor promote induction of many *lexA* regulated genes. This response to DNA damage is known as SOS response (20). RecA also directly facilitate replicative bypass of DNA lesions by associating with DNA polymerase-V (pol-V) during SOS response (21). It has been experimentally found that AlkB prefers damaged ssDNA over undamaged ssDNA as a substrate (22) and AlkB identifies alkylated base lesions by scanning the genome (23). To gain a more complete understanding of the mechanism of recruitment of AlkB, we purified AlkB and performed a targeted proteomic analysis of proteins co-purified with AlkB protein using mass spectrometry (Unpublished observation). We found that AlkB physically interacts with the N-terminal domain of recombination repair factor RecA. RecA protein is found in all organism and essential for genetic recombination and recombinational DNA repair (24,25). At present, functional significance of RecA-AlkB interaction is not clear.

1.5 h R A D 5 1 :

hRAD51 is the major eukaryotic homologous recombinase and it is necessary for the repair of DNA damage and the maintenance of genomic diversity and stability. hRAD51 and hRAD54 proteins are the members of the RAD52 group (26) and hRAD51 is the homolog of *Escherichia coli* recombinase enzyme Rec A (27). From the alignments of the RecA and Rad51 protein sequences it was found that (11,12) RecA has a C-terminal extension whereas in Rad51 it was absent and that the Rad51 proteins have an N-terminal extension that is absent in RecA. The hRAD51 has shorter N-terminal extension as compared to *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Rad51 (ScRad51). It was reported that the N-terminal domain of hRad51 binds both single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) and dsDNA (28) whereas the C-terminal domain of RecA binds double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) (29).

hRAD51 protein has cellular DNA repair which restrict HIV-1 integration both in vitro and in vivo. In vitro it was found that the chemical activation of hRAD51 enhance its integration inhibitory properties, whereas inhibition of hRAD51 decreases the integration restriction. This indicate that hRAD51 recombinase activity results in the modulation of HIV-1 integration (30). Cellular analyses demonstrated that cells exhibiting high hRAD51 levels prior to de novo infection are more resistant to integration. On the other hand, when hRAD51 was activated during integration, cells were more permissive. The human RAD51 (hRAD51) protein plays a major role in homologous recombination (HR) DNA repair and was previously shown to interact with HIV-1 integrase (IN) and inhibit its activity (31). In the RecA/RAD51 domain, two highly conserved consensus motifs are found namely Walker A and Walker B, which are present in ATPases and confer ATP binding and hydrolysis activities (32).

N-terminal domain of RecA is similar to that of Rad51: The RecA NTD contains only a helix (residues 1–23) and a β-loop (residues 24–33). By contrast, the NTDs of archaeal and eukaryotic RecA family proteins are composed of two helix-hairpin-helix (HhH) motifs. It has been reported that the α-helix of the RecA NTD (residues 1–23) shows significant amino acid sequence conservation with the second HhH motif of archaeal and eukaryotic RecA family proteins. First, Gly15 and Lys23 of RecA are conserved in all RecA family members. The equivalent respective residues in human Rad51 are Gly65 and Lys73. Second, Lys8 of E. coli RecA also conserved in eukaryotic Rad51 and Dmc1 proteins. The positively charged side chains of Lys8, Lys19, and Lys23 all point outward to the outermost surface of the active RecA-ssDNA presynaptic nucleoprotein filament. Intriguingly, these three lysine residues are conserved among most, if not all, prokaryotic RecA proteins. Third, Glu18 of RecA is also conserved in several other RecA family proteins.

Objectives: Since we have strong evidence to prove that purified AlkB and RecA forms stable complex whereby RecA enhances AlkB-catalyzed repair of methyl ssDNA adducts, we wanted to examine is similar interaction also exists between the eukaryotic homolog of hRad51 and ABH3.

The main objectives of the study are:

1. Cloning, expression and purification of His-hRAD51,
2. Interaction study of Tpa1 and hRad51 *in-vitro*.

Chapter 2

Materials and Methods

2.1 General Techniques

2.1.1 Agarose gel electrophoresis:

Horizontal agarose gel (0.6-1.2%) electrophoresis were routinely performed for separation of DNA fragments of various lengths (between 100bp-10kb). Appropriate amount of agarose (SeaKem®LE, LONZA, Cat. no. 50005) was dissolved in 1X TAE buffer (40mM Tris-acetate; 1mM EDTA pH 8.0) by heating in microwave oven. After cooling, ethidium bromide of concentration 1µg/ml was added into the gel solution and then poured into a mould and a comb was inserted to generate wells. After 30-45 minutes, when the gel got solidified completely, gel was mounted into electrophoresis chamber containing 1X TAE (40mM Tris-acetate; 1mM EDTA pH 8.0) and comb was removed gently. DNA samples and size marker were mixed with appropriate volume of 6X DNA loading dye (6x stock: 0.25% bromophenol blue; 0.25 xylene cyanol FF; 30% v/v glycerol (Thermo Scientific, Cat. No. R0611). Electrophoresis were generally carried out at 60-120 volt till the xylene cyanol dye migrated to distance of half of the gel. DNA bands were visualized in gel documentation system from SynGene (Model no: Chemi XR5, S.No DR4V2/2355). DNA ladder of 1kb (Cat no: SM0311 Thermo scientific) was used for HRAD51, AlkB, ABH3, ABH2 and linearized plasmid DNA for fragment size determination.

2.1.2 Preparation of competent *E. coli* cells:

Competent *E. coli* cells of DH5α and BL21 (DE3) pLysS were prepared as described by Alexander by using MnCl2 and CaCl2. 20μl of *E. coli* strains (DH5α and BL21 (DE3)pLysS) were taken from frozen glycerol stock and inoculated in 3ml of LB medium containing no antibiotics and incubated for overnight in a shaking incubator at 200 rpm. 1ml of this overnight grown culture was inoculated in a pre-warmed 100 ml of LB medium (1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract) prepared in 250ml Erlenmeyer flask. Inoculated culture was grown for about 4h at 30°C at 200 rpm in shaking incubator and the culture growth was monitored by measuring OD600 spectrophotometer every 20 minutes. When culture had reached OD600 of ˜0.35 it was taken out and incubated on ice for 1hr. Cells were harvested in two sets by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the cell pellets were resuspended in 12ml of acid salt buffer-A (ASB-A, sodium acetate- 40mM, $CaCl_2$- 100mM, $MnCl_2$- 70mM, pH 5.5) and incubated on ice for 1 hour. Then solution from both the falcon tube were combined in one falcon tube and the ASB treated cells were then pelleted by centrifugation carried out at 3500 rpm for 15 min at 4°C and resuspended in 2ml of ASB-B (Sodium acetate- 40mM, $CaCl_2$- 100mM, $MnCl_2$- 70mM, 15% glycerol, pH 5.5) and were stored in Eppendorf tubes (aliquots of 50μl) in deep freezer at - 86 °C for future use.

2.1.3 Purification of DNA from agarose gels (gel extraction):

DNA was purified from agarose gels using GeneJET gel extraction kit (Fermentas Life Sciences, cat. No. K0691) following the instructions recommended by the manufacturer.

2.1.4 Restriction digests and Ligation:

All restriction enzymes were purchased from New England Biolabs and used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Ligation reactions were performed using T4-DNA ligase (New England Biolabs), used as recommended by the manufacturer. Whole ligation reactions were used for transformation into *E. coli* (DH5α).

2.1.5 Plasmid DNA Isolation from mini bacterial culture:

A single colony was picked out from the plate containing colonies of DH5α transformed cells and inoculated in 2ml of LB medium containing ampicillin. The culture was incubated in a shaking incubator at 37°C, 200 rpm for overnight. Entire culture was used for isolation of plasmid DNA using Plasmid Mini-Prep kit (Fermentas Life Sciences, cat. No. K0502) following the instructions recommended by the manufacturer.

2.1.6 Transformation of competent *E. coli* cells:

(I) *Transformation of competent DH5a cells*: DH5α competent *E. coli* cells were prepared as described above. 5μl of a ligation mixture was added to 25μl of competent DH5α cells and incubated on ice for 30 minutes. After that heat shock was given at 42°C for 30 seconds, cells were again briefly incubated on ice for 5 minutes and transformed competent cells were plated on LB- agar plates containing 100μg/ml ampicillin. Plates were incubated at 37°C overnight.

(II) *Transformation of competent BL21 (DE3) pLysS cells*: BL21(DE3)pLysS competent *E. coli* cells were prepared as described above. 1μl of a plasmid DNA was added to 20μl of competent cells and the mixture was incubated on ice for 5 minutes. After a 42°C heat shock for 30 seconds, the cells were briefly incubated on ice for 5 minutes. After this incubation, entire transformation mixture was plated on LB-agar

plates containing 100μg/ml ampicillin. Plates were incubated at 37°C overnight for growth of cells.

2.1.7 SDS-PAGE:

Recombinant proteins were analyzed through SDS-PAGE. SDS-PAGE was carried out using discontinuous buffer system as described by Laemmli using Bio-Rad gel electrophoresis apparatus (Cat. no: 165-8001). Throughout this study 1.5mm thick 10% and 12% polyacrylamide (30:0.8 acrylamide to bisacrylamide ratio) gel containing 0.1% SDS was used for electrophoretic separation of proteins. The protein samples were mixed with half volume of 3X sample buffer (2.4ml 1M Tris-HCl, pH 6.5; 3ml 20% SDS, 3ml 100% Glycerol, 1.6ml β-mercaptoethanol, 0.006g Bromophenol blue) and heated at 100°C for 10 minutes and were loaded into the wells of precast polyacrylamide gel. Electrophoresis was performed at a constant voltage range of 100-140V by diluting 10x buffer (Tris base 30.3g, Glycine 144g, SDS 10g in 1000ml). After the electrophoresis, the gel was stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (0.4% w/v Coomassie blue R250, 30% v/v Methanol, 10 % Acetic acid). Spectra multicolor broad range protein ladder was used to confirm the size of protein. Composition of 10% and 12% SDS-PAGE gel is given below:

SDS-PAGE Gel Composition

Resolving Gel			Stacking Gel	
Components	10%	12%	Components	4%

30% Acrylamide bisacrylamide	1.65 ml	2.0ml	30% Acrylamide bisacrylamide	0.65 ml
Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 1.5M	1.25 ml	1.25 ml	Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 0.5M	1.25 ml
H_2O	2.05 ml	1.70 ml	H_2O	3.05 ml
SDS (10%)	50.0µl	50.0µl	SDS (10%)	50µl
APS	45.0µl	45.0µl	APS	25 µl
TEMED	20.0µl	20.0µl	TEMED	10.0µl

2.1.8 Media and Solutions:

De-ionized water was used for all buffers solutions and media.

Media	Composition
LB media	1%Tryptone w/v, 0.5% w/v Yeast Extract
TB media	1.2% Tryptone w/v, 2.4% Yeast Extract, 0.4% v/v glycerol

2.1.9 Other solutions:

i)   Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (1M): IPTG 4.7g, H_2O 20ml.

ii)   20% SDS: SDS (20g), H_2O (80ml). Make final volume 100 ml with stirring.

iii)   20% Ammonium per Sulfate: APS (0.2g), H_2O (0.8 ml).

2.1.10 General buffers used in the study:

Buffers	Composition
TE (Tris-EDTA) 50X	1mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.1 mM EDTA pH 8.0. A 10X stock solution was routinely used to prepare 1X TE.
SDS-PAGE Resolving gel buffer	1.5 M Tris-HCl, (for Resolving Gel) ,pH 8.8

SDS -PAGE Stacking gel buffer	0.5 M Tris-HCl (for stacking gel), pH 6.5
10X SDS-PAGE gel running buffer	Tris base 30.3g, Glycine 144g, SDS 10g (add last) , Make final volume to 1000ml.
1X Gradient gel running buffer	25mM Tris Base, 192mM Glycine, 0.1 % (w/v) SDS, methanol 20%.
3X SDS-PAGE loading dye (10ml)	1M Tris-Cl, pH 6.5 (2.4 ml), 20% SDS (3 ml), Glycerol (100%) (3 ml), β-mercaptoethanol (1.6 ml), Bromophenol blue (0.006g).
SDS-PAGE staining solution.	Coomassie blue R250-0.4% w/v, Methanol-30% v/v, Acetic acid 10%v/v
SDS-PAGE de-staining solution	Methanol- 30 % v/v, Acetic acid 10 %v/v.

2.2 Cloning of His-hRAD51

2.2.1 PCR amplification of hRAD51:

The genomic DNA isolated from *E. coli* K-12 strain was used as template to amplify the HRAD51 gene fragment. The PCR was carried out using Phusion High- Fidelity Polymerase (Cat. No: M0530S). The gene sequence was retrieved from NCBI and forward and reverse primers were designed accordingly. The primers hRAD51-BamHI-Sen (5'AAAAGGATCCATGGCAATGCAGATGCAGCTTG3') and hRAD51-SalI-Anti

(5'AAAAGTCGACTCAGTCTTTGGCATCTCCCAC3') were synthesized by Imperial Life Sciences, Haryana, India. hRAD51 gene was amplified using the specified primers. The PCR reaction had dNTP- 200 μM, primers-1.0 μM each and enzyme 1 unit. The PCR condition for amplification was as follows: Initial denaturation: 98° C for 30 seconds: followed by 98° C for30 seconds: 56° C for 30 seconds: 72° C for 1 min: final

extension 72° C for 10 min: and 4° C on hold. PCR was carried out for 32 cycles of amplification. The product was checked in 0.8 % agarose gel, run in 1X TE-buffer, pH 8.0 (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA) at 120 V for 15 min. Standard 1kb DNA ladder (NEB) was used to compare the size of the amplicon. After the run, the gel was viewed under SynGene Gel Doc system.

2.2.2 Restriction digestion of PCR product:

The PCR product was cleaned-up using Gene-Jet PCR clean-up kit (Cat.no: #K0701). Reaction conditions for the restriction digestion were as follows: Cleaned-up PCR DNA - 44 µl, 10X NEB buffer 4- 5.0 µl, BamHI-0.5 U, SalI-0.5 U, incubated overnight at 37° C. The entire digested product was analyzed by 0.8 % agarose gel at 80 V for 20 min. After completion of the run, the digested PCR product was seen under transilluminator and the gel was cut with a sterile scalpel. The digested product was extracted from the gel using GeneJET Gel extraction kit (Thermo Scientific: #K0691). The product was eluted in molecular grade water. The eluted product was analyzed in 0.8 % agarose gel run at 120 V for 15 min.

2.2.3 Restriction digestion of vector:

For cloning of HRAD51 gene, pRSET-A (GE Healthcare), a vector with N-terminal polyhistidine tag was used. Vector digestion was carried out with plasmid DNA- 17.0 µl, 10X NEB buffer 4- 2.0 µl, BamHI-0.5 U, SalI-0.5 U and incubated overnight at 37° C. The digested product was separated in 0.8 % agarose gel at 80 V for 20 min. To visualize the linearized plasmid DNA, the gel was exposed under transilluminator and the band was cut using a scalpel. The DNA was extracted from the gel

plug using GeneJET Gel extraction kit (Thermo Scientific: #K0691). The eluted product was analyzed in a 0.8 % agarose gel.

2.2.4 Ligation of BamHI, SalI digested HRAD51 and pRSET-A:

Ligation of BamHI, SalI digested hRAD51 and pET28a was carried out using T4- DNA ligase (NEB: M0202). The composition of the ligation was as follows: BamHI, SalI cut HRAD51 (insert) 7.0 μl, BamHI, SalI cut pET28a (vector) - 1.0 μl in a 10.0 μl reaction. The ligation reaction was incubated at 16° C for 17 h.

2.2.5 Transformation of ligation and colony screening:

The ligation mixture after incubation was transformed into DH5α competent cell. Entire 10.0 μl of the ligation mix was added to 50 μl DH5α competent cells and incubated on ice for 30 min. The incubation was followed by heat shock at 42° C for 30 sec. After incubation on ice for 5 min, entire transformation mix was plated on LB-agar plate (Tryptone- 1 %: Yeast extract- 0.5 %: agar-1.5 %) containing Ampicillin (final conc. 100 μg/ml). The plates were incubated at 37° C for 12 h.

Isolated single colonies obtained after the transformation were inoculated into 2 ml LB-Kan broth and incubated at 37° C / 200 rpm / 13 h. The colonies obtained were screened using GeneJET plasmid purification kit (Thermo Scientific, Cat.no: #K0502) as per instruction. The plasmid DNA thus obtained was analyzed on 0.8 % agarose gel, run at 120 V for 20 min.

2.2.6 Confirmation of the clones:

Plasmids containing insert were initially selected based on the slower mobility in the agarose gel analysis. These clones were further confirmed for the presence of insert and orientation of the insert by restriction

analysis. One restriction site (PvuII) was chosen that is present in both the vector backbone and the gene of interest. In a ligated vector the position of PvuII are 457 and 758 nucleotide and the presence of correct insert would result in release of an insert of size 302 nucleotide. The reaction conditions were as follows: Plasmid DNA: 5.0 µl, PvuII- 1.0 U, in a total volume of 10 µl. The reaction was incubated at 37° C for 4 h and 10 µl of the reaction was then analyzed in 0.8 % agarose gel run at 120 V / 20 min.

2.3 Expression of recombinant HRAD51:

2.3.1 Transformation of pRSETA-HRAD51 into BL21(DE3)pLysS

The positive clones, after restriction analysis, were selected for transformation into bacterial expression system, BL21 (pLysS). 1.0 µl of plasmid DNA was added to 50 µl of BL21 (DE3) pLysS competent cells and the transformation mix was incubated on ice for 5 min. Heat shock was given at 42° C for 30 sec. After incubation on ice for 5 min., entire transformation mix was plated on LB-Kan agar plates. The plates were then incubated at 37° C for 12 h.

2.3.2 Small-scale expression analysis of recombinant His-hRAD51

After the transformation, 5-10 colonies were inoculated into 5 ml of LB-Kan broth. Cells were allowed to grow till log phase (approximately 3 h) at 37° C, 200 rpm. 2 ml of the culture was aliquoted as uninduced sample and the remaining 3 ml was induced with 1 mM Isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG, Sigma I6758). Induction was carried out at 30° C for 4 h. After the induction, 1 ml of uninduced and 750 µl of induced

cells were pelleted at 8000 rpm for 5 min. Pellet was then resuspended in 200 μl of Protein Extraction Buffer (Tris, pH 8.0 - 50 mM; NaCl - 250 mM; Triton X – 0.005 %) followed by incubation on ice for 5 min. 40 μl of resuspended cells were mixed with 20 μl of 3X SDS-loading dye (Tris, pH 6.5 – 250 mM; SDS – 6 %; Glycerol – 30 %; β-mercapto ethanol – 2.28 M; Bromophenol blue – 0.06 %). The sample thus prepared was incubated in dry bath at 100° C for 10 min. 15 μl of the uninduced and 10 μl of the induced samples were loaded into 10 % SDS-PAGE, run at 140 V for 50 min. Prestained protein ladder (Fermentas, Cat No.: PMT2522) was used as the molecular weight standard. SDS-PAGE gel was stained with staining solution (Coomassie brilliant blue R250 Sigma, CatB7920 – 0.4 %; Methanol – 30 %; Acetic acid – 10 %) for 20 min. Excess stain was removed by destaining solution (30 % Methanol, 10 % Acetic acid) in several steps.

2.4 Purification of recombinant hRAD51

2.4.1 Large scale culture of recombinant hRAD51

The positive clone(s) for expression was inoculated into 100 ml LB-Kan broth and grown overnight at 37° C / 200 rpm. The preinoculum was then diluted into 1 L Terrific Broth (Tryptone – 1.2 %; Yeast extract – 2.4 %; Glycerol – 0.4 %). The culture was grown till mid-log phase at 37° C / 200 rpm / 3 h using incubator shaker (JSR, Model No. JJSI-100C). The culture was then induced with 1 M IPTG (Sigma, Cat. No. I6758) (final concentration 1 mM). Induction was carried out at 30° C / 200 rpm / 4 h 30 min. Cells were then pelleted at 8000 rpm / 15 min / 4° C using centrifuge (Thermo Scientific, Model No. SORVALL Legend

XTR). Pellet was stored at -80˚C refrigerator (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Model No. FORMA 900 Series).

2.4.2 Purification of recombinant hRAD51 protein by Ni-NTA affinity

The cell pellet was resuspended in 20 ml of extraction buffer (Tris, pH 8.0 – 50 mM; NaCl 300 mM; Triton X100 0.1 %; Imidazole – 1 mM) by vortex. Total extract was then subjected to sonication on ice for 15 min with pulse 10 sec on and 10 sec off, at 25 % amplitude using a sonicator (Sonics Vibra Cell, Model No. VCX 130, Frequency- 20 kHz). Cell debris were pelleted at 14000 rpm / 20 min / 4˚C using centrifuge (Thermo Scientific, Model No. SORVALL Legend XTR). Clear supernatant was taken for Ni-NTA (Qiagen, Cat. No. 30210) bead binding. Before addition of the beads to supernatant, beads were equilibrated with the extraction buffer. Binding was carried out by gentle rotation at 10 rpm / 4˚C for 4 h. After binding unbound fraction was removed by centrifugation at 1000 g / 4˚C / 5 min using refrigerated microcentrifuge (Thermo Scientific, Model No. SORVALL Legend XTR). Protein bound beads were then washed 3 times with wash buffer (Tris, pH 8.0 – 50mM; NaCl 350 mM; Triton X100 0.1 %; Imidazole – 5 mM). Washing step was repeated 3 times with 15 ml wash buffer. The bound protein was eluted using elution buffer (Tris – 10 mM; NaCl 100 mM; Imidazole – 250 mM). Protein was collected in 4 different fractions as elute – 1, 2, 3 and 4. The protein elutes along with soluble, insoluble, unbound and wash fractions were loaded and checked in 10 % SDS-PAGE at 140 V / 50 min. Prestained protein ladder (Fermentas, Cat No.: PMT2522) was used as the molecular weight standard. SDS-PAGE gel was stained with staining

solution (Coomassie brilliant blue R250 Sigma, CatB7920 – 0.4 %; Methanol – 30 %; Acetic acid – 10 %) for 20 min. Excess stain was removed by destaining solution (30 % Methanol, 10 % Acetic acid) in several steps. After destaining, the protein elutes, which has protein, were pooled together for dialysis against dialysis buffer (Tris 10 mM, NaCl 100 mM, Glycerol – 5 %) using dialysis membrane of molecular weight cut-off 3500 Da (Spectrum labs, Cat. No.: 132590 Spectra Por). The dialysis buffer was changed three times. After dialysis protein was aliquoted as 50 µl and 100 µl and stored at -80° C.

2.5 Analysis of RecA-AlkB interaction by size exclusion chromatography

Samples of purified recombinant proteins were applied to Superose-12 (GE Healthcare) gel filtration column and analyzed using an AKTA Prime FPLC system (GE Healthcare). For analysis of scRad51-Tpa1 complex, 0.5 mg of Tpa1 was mixed with 0.5 mg of scRad51 in 0.5 ml buffer containing 25mM NaCl and 20mM HEPES, pH 7.0 or 20mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. The samples were analyzed with flow rate of 0.3 ml/min and 0.5 ml fractions were collected.

Chapter 3

Results

3.1 Cloning, Expression and purification of hRad51:

Full length hRad51 was PCR amplified and examined by 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis. The expected PCR amplification of size 1020 bp was obtained (Figure 1A). The full length hRad51 PCR product and plasmid pET28 were sequentially digested by BamHI and SalI and ligated. The clone was selected by slower mobility compared to negative control (pET28) (Figure 1B). Sequencing was performed to finally confirm the clone.

For recombinant protein expression, *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) cells were transformed with pET28-hRad51. These cells were grown and induced for protein expression by IPTG. Expression of the recombinant protein was confirmed by SDS-PAGE analysis in 12% gel and staining with Coomassie-brilliant blue (Figure 2A). Since the proteins had the C-terminal his tag, they were purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography (Figure 2B).

3.2 Purification of AlkBH3:

AlkBH3 was cloned in pET28 vector by Ms Monisha Mohan (PhD student in Dr. Anindya Roy's lab) (Figure 3A). The purity of the protein was checked by SDS-PAGE (Figure 3B).

3.3 hRad51 does not interact with AlkBH3

To examine if hRad51 forms stable complex with AlkBH3 or they interact

transiently, we analyzed their interaction by size exclusion chromatography (SEC). First, recombinant hRad51 and AlkBH3 purified from *E.coli* were applied separately to a Superose-12 SEC column equilibrated with 25 mM NaCl and 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Eluted fractions were applied to SDS-PAGE to detect and identify the protein contents. SEC analysis of hRad51 (0.5 mg) showed that hRad51 protein was eluted predominantly near the void volume of the column (8 ml) (Fig 4B). This is probably due to existence of hRad51 as various oligomers in equilibrium. In the absence of DNA, hRad51 protein can self-assemble into a variety of multimeric forms, including rings, rods and highly aggregated structures. AlkBH3 protein was found in a distinct peak at an elution volume of ~13ml, which corresponds to a molecular mass of approximately 45 kDa (Fig 2A). This indicates that AlkBH3 purified from *E. coli* exists as a monomer. To elucidate characteristics of the AlkBH3-RecA protein complex, equal amount (0.5 mg) of the proteins were mixed and assessed by SEC. The highest concentrations of hRad51 and AlkBH3 were eluted at 8ml and 13ml, respectively, indicating the individual proteins remained separate and there was no evidence of an interacting peak (Fig 4C).

Figure 1. Cloning of hRad51. A. The PCR amplification of hRad51 gene was confirmed by running the sample on Agarose Gel. A band near 1000 marks of ladder suggested the amplification of the gene as the length of the gene is 1020 bp B. Comparison of mobility of pET28a-scRad51 and pET28a. Slower mobility (lane 2) suggest the presence of insert. 1kb ladder was used to compare the size of PCR amplified product. The Gel was viewed under SynGene Gel Doc system. C. Plasmid map of pET28a-hRad51 generated using SnapGene software. Unique restriction sites are indicated in bold.

Figure 2. Cloning and expression of hRad51. A. pET28-hRad51 was transformed into BL21 (DE3) pLysS and was analyzed for expression of His-ABH3 (50 kDa) by induction, using 1 mM IPTG. The expression level of the induced cell extract (lane 2) was compared with the uninduced cell extract (lane 1), analyzed in 10% SDS-PAGE. B. Recombinant His-hRad51 was purified from 1 L culture by one-step Ni-NTA-affinity chromatography. Bacterial lysate (lane 1) was loaded along with Soluble (lane 2), and wash fraction (lane 3). The purified His-ABH3 (lane 4 and 5) was collected as different fractions. All the fractions were loaded in 10% SDS-PAGE along with Pre-stained Protein Marker (lane 6) and the bands were visualized by Coomassie staining.


Figure 3. Cloning and expression of AlkbH3. A. Plasmid map of pET28a-AlkBH3 generated using SnapGene software. Unique restriction sites are indicated in bold. B. SDS-PAGE analysis of purified AlkBH3.

Figure 4. Analysis of hRad51 and AlkBH3 by SEC. 0.5 mg of hRad51 or AlkBH3 present in 20mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 25mM NaCl analyzed by Superose-12 FPLC column. (A) AlkBH3 eluted as monomer. (B) Rad51 eluted as high molecular weight aggregate. (C) RecA and AlkB were mixed together in the same buffer (mentioned above) and analyzed by SEC. No new peak was observed which is separate from hRad51 and AlkBH3 peaks.

References

1. Rydberg, B. & Lindahl, T. (1982) Nonenzymatic methylation of DNA by the intracellular methyl group donor S-adenosyl-L-methionine is a potentially mutagenic reaction. *EMBO J.* 1, 211–216.

2. Taverna, P. & Sedgwick, B. (1996) Generation of an endogenous DNA-methylating agent by nitrosation in *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* 178, 5105–5111.

3. Sedgwick, B. (2004). "Repairing DNA-methylation damage." *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 5(2): 10.

4. Rajski, S. R., and Williams, R. M. (1998). "DNA Cross-Linking Agents as Antitumor Drugs." *Chem. Rev.* 98: 2723–2796.

5. Beranek, D. T. (1990). "Distribution of methyl and ethyl adducts following alkylation with monofunctional alkylating agents." *Mutat. Res.* 231(1): 20.

6. Singer, B., and Grunberger, D. (1983). "Molecular Biology of Mutagens and Carcinogens."

7. Begley TJ, Samson LD (2003). AlkB mystery solved: oxidative demethylation of N1methyladenine and N3-methylcytosine adducts by a direct reversal mechanism. *TRENDS in Biochemical Sciences.*28: 2-5

8. Kataoka, H. et al. (1983) A new gene (alkB) of Escherichia coli that controls sensitivity to methyl methane sulfonate. *J. Bacteriol.* 153, 1301–1307

9. Chen, B.J. et al. (1994) The Escherichia coli AlkB protein protects human cells against alkylation-induced toxicity. *J. Bacteriol.* 176, 6255–6261

10. Cox, M. M. (2007) Regulation of bacterial RecA protein function. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 42, 41-63

11. Aravind L, Koonin EV (2001) The DNA-repair protein AlkB, EGL-9, and leprecan define new families of 2-oxoglutarate- and iron dependent dioxygenases. *Genome Biol* 2: 7.1–7.8

12. Ottar Sundheim, Cathrine B Vagbø, Magnar Bjøras, Mirta ML Sousa, Vivi Talstad, Per A Aas, Finn Drablø, Hans E Krokan, John A Tainer and Geir Slupphaug (2006). Human ABH3 structure and key residues for oxidative demethylation to reverse DNA/RNA damage. *The EMBO Journal* 25, 3389–3397

24

13. Aas PA, Otterlei M, Falnes PO, Vagbo CB, Skorpen F, Akbari M, Sundheim O, Bjoras M, Slupphaug G, Seeberg E, et al. (2003) Human and bacterial oxidative demethylases repair alkylation damage in both RNA and DNA. *Nature*. 421:859–863. [PubMed: 12594517]

14. Errol C.Friedberg, Graham c. Walker, Wolfram Siede, Richard D. Wood, Roger A. schultz, Tom Ellenberger, *DNA Repair and Mutagenesis*, 2nd Edition, ASM press, ISBN 1-55581-319-4

15. Dango S., Mosammaparast N., Sowa M.E., Xiong L.J., Wu F., Park K., Rubin M., Gygi S., Harper J.W.,Shi Y. (2011) DNA unwinding by ASCC3 helicase is coupled to ALKBH3-dependent DNA alkylation repair and cancer cell proliferation. *Mol. Cell* 44, 373-384

16. Story, R. M., Bishop, D. K., Kleckner, N., and Steitz, T. A. (1993) Structural relationship of bacterial RecA proteins to recombination proteins from bacteriophage T4 and yeast. *Science* 259, 1892-1896

17. Story, R. M., Weber, I. T., and Steitz, T. A. (1992) The structure of the E. coli recA protein monomer and polymer. *Nature* 355, 318-325

18. Story, R. M., and Steitz, T. A. (1992) Structure of the recA protein-ADP complex. *Nature* 355, 374-376

19. VanLoock, M. S., Yu, X., Yang, S., Lai, A. L., Low, C., Campbell, M. J., and Egelman, E. H. (2003) ATP-mediated conformational changes in the RecA filament. *Structure* 11, 187-196

20. Little, J. W. (1991) Mechanism of specific LexA cleavage: autodigestion and the role of RecA coprotease. *Biochimie* 73, 411-421

21. Schlacher, K., Cox, M. M., Woodgate, R., and Goodman, M. F. (2006) RecA acts in trans to allow replication of damaged DNA by DNA polymerase V. *Nature* 442, 883-887

22. Dinglay, S., Trewick, S. C., Lindahl, T., and Sedgwick, B. (2000) Defective processing of methylated single-stranded DNA by E. coli AlkB mutants. *Genes Dev* 14, 2097-2105

23. Holland, P. J., and Hollis, T. (2010) Structural and mutational analysis of Escherichia coli AlkB provides insight into substrate specificity and DNA damage searching. *PLoS One* 5, e8680

24. Cox, M. M. (2007) Motoring along with the bacterial RecA protein. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 8, 127-138

25. West, S. C., Cassuto, E., and Howard-Flanders, P. (1981) recA protein promotes homologous-pairing and strand-exchange reactions

between duplex DNA molecules. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 78, 2100-2104

26. Sigurdsson S., Van Komen S., Petukhova G., Sung P (2002). Homologous DNA pairing by human recombination factors Rad51 and Rad54. *J. Biol. Chem.*277, 42790-42794

27. Shinohara, A., Ogawa, H. & Ogawa, T. (1992) Rad51 protein involved in repair and recombination in *S. cerevisiae* is a RecA-like protein. *Cell* 69, 457–470

28. Ishida, T., Takizawa, Y., Kainuma, T., Inoue, J., Mikawa, T., Shibata, T., Suzuki, H., Tashiro, S., and Kurumizaka, H. (2009). DIDS, a chemical compound that inhibits RAD51-mediated homologous pairing and strand exchange. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, 3367–3376

29. Aihara, H., Ito, Y Kurumizaka, H., Yokoyama, S. & Shibata, T. (1999) The N-terminal domain of the human Rad51 protein binds DNA: structure and a DNA binding surface as revealed by NMR. *J. Mol. Biol.* 290, 495–504

30. Desfarges, S., San Filippo, J., Fournier, M., Calmels, C., Caumont-Sarcos, A., Litvak, S., Sung, P., and Parissi, V. (2006). Chromosomal integration of LTRflanked DNA in yeast expressing HIV-1 integrase: down regulation by RAD51. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34, 6215–6224.

31. Sylvain Thierry, Mohamed Salah Benleulmi, Ludivine Sinzelle, Eloise Thierry, Christina Calmels, Stephane Chaignepain,Pierre Waffo-Teguo, Jean-Michel Merillon, Brian Budke, Jean-Max Pasquet, Simon Litvak, Angela Ciuffi, Patrick Sung, Philip Connell, Ilona Hauber,Joachim Hauber, Marie-Line Andreola,Olivier Delelis, and Vincent Parissi (2015) Dual and opposite effects of hRAD51 chemical modulation on HIV-1 Integration. *Chemistry & Biology* 22, 712–723

32. Walker J. E. , Saraste M. , Runswick M. J. , Gay N. J. (1982) A Possible Role of the C-terminal Domain of the RecA protein a gateway model for double-stranded DNA binding *EMBO J.* 1:945–951