Graphical Abstract

To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below.
Fonts or abstract dimensions should not be changed or altered.

Leave this area blank for abstract info.

Formation of Bi-aryls via a Domino Palladium-Catalysis

J. Krishna, A. Gopi Krishna Reddy, and G. Satyanarayana*



R^3 = cyclohexyl

R^3 = ^{i}Propyl

Formation of Bi-aryls via a Domino Palladium-Catalysis

J. Krishna, A. Gopi Krishna Reddy, and G. Satyanarayana*

[a] Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Hyderabad, Ordnance Factory Estate Campus, Yeddumailaram – 502 205, Medak District, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Fax: +91(40) 2301 6032

E-mail: gvsatya@iith.ac.in

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received
Received in revised form
Accepted
Available online

Keywords:
[Pd]-catalysis
bi-aryls
domino
1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones
(2-bromophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanones

ABSTRACT

Synthesis of bi-aryls via a domino Pd-catalyzed reaction of 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones/(2-bromophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanones is presented. The mechanism of the reaction is believed to proceed through a five membered palladacycle that combines with a second molecule of halo-arene to yield the bi-aryls. This method is quite successful to deliver highly sterically crowded bi-aryls with dense functionalities on the aromatic rings.

The development of sustainable synthetic methods is a significant task in synthetic organic chemistry. In this regard; transition-metal catalysis is identified as a potent tool for constructing C–C bonds most efficiently. In this context, palladium is recognized as being amongst most used metals suitable for a wide variety of reactions, namely, coupling reactions such as Heck,[1] Stille,[2] Suzuki,[3] Sonogashira[4] and Buchwald-Hartwig.[5] In particular, C–H activation reactions through organo-palladium intermediate species have also become popular in the field of organic synthesis.[6,7]

In continuation of our ongoing research interest on transition-metal catalysis,[8] particularly on domino one-pot[8f,g,h] and sequential domino one-pot[8d,e] processes, very recently, we have reported a novel domino Pd-catalysis for the synthesis of novel 7-methyl-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*c*][7]annulen-5-ones,[8g] a carbon core structure of colchicinoid natural products.



Scheme 1. Illustration of the influence of an alkyl group on the out-come of Pd-catalysis.

Herein, we present an interesting domino palladium- catalysed for the synthesis of bi-aryls. In this paper, we present an interesting observation that the alkyl group of 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones/(2-bromophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanones **3a-3h/6a-6h** plays an important role, wherein the isopropyl/cyclohexyl ketone moiety in the presence of a Pd-catalyst enter into a different mechanistic path and diverts the reaction after bi-aryl coupling unlike the previous report on 1-(2-bromphenyl)ethanones (Scheme 1).[8g]

The bi-aryl is an important structural core present in some biologically active natural products.[9] For example, mastigophorene (A) exhibits nerve-growth stimulating activity,[10,11] korupensamine A, shows good antimalarial activity in vitro and in vivo,[12] whereas binaphthalene gossypol,[13] possessed proposed antispermatogenic,[14] antitumor,[15] and antimalarial[16] activities (Figure 1).



Figure 1 Naturally occurring bi-aryl compounds.

The 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-one precursors **3a**–**3h** required for this study have been accessed from the corresponding *ortho*-bromobenzaldehydes **1a**–**1h** using isopropyl Grignard addition and an oxidation protocol (for details, see: supporting information). Having obtained the requisite 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones **3a**–**3h**, the Pd-catalysis for bi-aryl formation was explored. However, the reaction was unsuccessful under the optimized conditions that were established in the case of 1-(2-bromophenyl)ethanones.[8g] Surprisingly, with slight modification of the reaction conditions (i. e. with base K_2CO_3 and solvent toluene), the reaction progressed well in a very controlled fashion and furnished only the bi-aryl product **4a** in excellent yield (Table 1). After the accomplishment of **4a**, to check the scope and limitations of the present method, these optimized conditions were applied to the other systems of 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones **3b**–**3h**. Agreeably, it was observed that the optimized conditions are amenable to the other 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones **3b**–**3h** and furnished the bi-aryl products **4b**–**4h** in very good to excellent yields (Table 1). However, in case of **4h**, the reaction was found to be slower and took a longer time when compared to other systems, therefore, furnished the product **4h** in moderate yield (Table 1). This can be justified because of steric hindrance of the di-*ortho*-substituents on either aromatic rings of the bi-aryl product **4h**.

Table 1. Domino Pd-catalyzed bi-aryl coupling.[a,b]



[a] Reaction conditions: **4a**–**4h** (100 mg, 0.27 to 0.44 mmol), 0.14–0.22 M in toluene.

[b] Yields in the parentheses are isolated yields of chromatographically pure products.

[c] Isolated yield of chromatographically pure product based on the starting material recovery.

In addition to the spectroscopic structural elucidation of the bi-aryls **4**, the skeletal structure of **4a** has been further unambiguously confirmed by the single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 2).[17]



Figure 2 X-ray crystal structure of **4a**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level.

After the accomplishment of bi-aryls **4a-4h**, we have turned our focus to extend the scope and limitations of the method (the requisite precursors **6a-6h** were prepared using standard cyclohexyl Grignard reagent addition and an oxidation protocol, see: supporting information). Therefore, Pd-catalysis on (2-bromophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanones **6a-6h**, was attempted for the formation of the expected bi-aryls. Interestingly, the method was also quite successful and gave **7a-7h** in very good yields as shown in Table 2. Once again, the effectiveness of substrate **7h**

was lowered when compared to the other starting materials applied.

Table 2. Domino Pd-catalyzed bi-aryl coupling.[a,b]



[a] Reaction conditions: **7a**–**7h** (100 mg, 0.25 to 0.37 mmol), 0.12–0.18 M in toluene.

[b] Yields in the parentheses are isolated yields of chromatographically pure products.

[c] Isolated yield of chromatographically pure product based on the starting material recovery.

The plausible mechanism for the formation of **4a** is as described in Scheme **2**. Initially, an oxidative insertion of Pd(0)-catalyst leads to aryl-palladium(II) species **A**, which on intramolecular activation of sp^3 C-H bond of the ketone might lead to a five membered palladacycle **B.** Elimination of HBr from Pd(IV)[17] cyclic intermediate **B** might generate Pd(II) species **C**. The key palladacycle **C** combines with a second molecule of **4a** via oxidative C-Br bond insertion and would yield Pd(IV)[17] complex **D**. Finally, bi-aryl coupling leads to the Pd(II) intermediate **E**, which on expulsion of a Pd-species via β-elimination might furnish the bi-aryl product **4a**. This can be justified based on the availability of β-hydrogen, which may facilitate the rapid reductive *syn*-elimination (Scheme 2). It is worth mentioning that the possible formation of higher oxidation state Pd(IV) intermediates **B** and **D** are justified based on previous reports.[18]



Scheme 2. Plausible catalytic cycle for the formation of **4**

In summary, we have developed a domino Pd-catalysis for the synthesis of bi-aryls via homo-coupling, a carbon core structure present in biologically active bi-aryl natural products. The method is efficient to deliver the bi-aryls with dense functionalisation on the aromatic moieties.

Acknowledgments

Financial support by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research [(CSIR), 02(0018)/11/EMR-II], New Delhi is gratefully acknowledged. J.K., A.G.K.R., thank CSIR, New Delhi, for the award of a research fellowship.

.

Supplementary Material

Spectral data and Copies of ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra related to this article can be found online at.

References and notes

(1) (a) Beller, M.; Riermeier, T. H.; Stark, G. In *Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis*, Vol. 1 (Eds.; Beller, M.; Bolm, C.), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **1998**, pp 208; (b) Bräse, S.; de Meijere, A. In *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, (Eds.; Diederich, F.; Stang, P. J.), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **1998**, Chapter 3; (c) Link, J. T.; Overman, L. E. In *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, (Eds.; Diederich, F.; Stang P. J.), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **1998**, Chapter 6; (d) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 3009–3066; (e) Poli, G.; Giambastiani, G.; Heumann, A. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 5959-5989; (f) Link, J. T. *Org. React.* **2002**, *60*, 157–534; g) D.-H. Lee, A. Taher, S. Hossain, M.-J. Jin, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 5540–5543; h) H.-J. Xu, Y.-Q. Zhao, X.-F. Zhou, *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 8036–8041; i) Z. Wang, X. Feng, W. Fang, T. Tu, *Synlett*, **2011**, 951–954; j) C. Rossy, E. Fouquet, F.-X. Felpin, *Synthesis*, **2012**, 37–41; k) E. W. Werner, M. S. Sigman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 9692–9695.

(2) (a) Farina, V.; Krishnamurthy, V.; Scott, W. *J. Org. React.* **1997**, *50*, 1–652; (b) Duncton, M. A. J.; Pattenden, G. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1999**, 1235–1246; (c) Gonthier, E.; Breinbauer, R.; *Molecular Diversity* **2005**, *9*, 51–62; (d) Echavarren, A. M. *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *117*, 4028–4031; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 3962–3965; e) H. Huang, H. Jiang, K. Chen, H. Liu, *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 5599–5602.

(3) For some reviews, see: (a) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2457–2483; (b) Chemler, S. R.; Trauner, D.; Danishefsky, S. J. *Angew. Chem.* **2001**, *113*, 4676–4701; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4544–4568; (c) Darses, S.; Genet, J.-P.; *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 4313–4327; (d) Bellina, F.; Carpita, A.; Rossi, R; *Synthesis* **2004**, 2419–2440; (e) Suzuki, A.; *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4759–4763; (f) Nicolaou, K. C.; Bulger, P. G.; Sarlah, D. *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *117*, 4516–

4563; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 4442–4489; (g) Kantchev, E. A. B.; O'Brien, C. J.; Organ, M. G. *Aldrichimica Acta* **2006**, *39*, 97–111; h) B. Saito, G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 9602–9603; i) G.-R. Peh, E. A. B. Kantchev, J.-C. Er, J. Y. Ying, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *14*, 4010–4017; j) Z. Lu, A. Wilsily, G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 8154–8157; k) S. D. Dreher, S.-E. Lim, D. L. Sandrock, G. A. Molander, *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 3626–3631.

(4) (a) Casser, L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975**, *93*, 253–257; (b) Dieck, H. A.; Heck, F. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975**, *93*, 259–263; (c) Sonogashira, K.; Tohda, Y.; Hagihara, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *31*, 4467–4470; (d) Sonogashira, K. In *Comp. Org. Synth.* (Eds.; Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I.), *32*, 521–549, Pergmon, Oxford, **1991**; (e) Beller, M.; Zapf, A.; Hand book of *Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis* **2002**, *1*, 1209–1222; (f) Negishi, E.–i.; Anastasia, L. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 1979–2017; g) B. H. Lipshutz, D. W. Chung, B. Rich, *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 3793–3796; h) H. Huang, H. Liu, H. Jiang, K. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 6037–6040; i) R. Severin, J. Reimer, S. Doye, *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 3518–3521.

(5) For Buckwald-Hartwig cross coupling reactions: a) Buchwald, S. L.; Muci, A. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2002**, *219*, 133–209; b) Hartwig, J. F.; *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1999**, *71*, 1417–1423; c) Hartwig, J. F. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *31*, 852–860. d) Lindley, J. *Tetrahedron*, **1984**, *40*, 1433–1456; e) Biehl, E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 2619–2622. f) Kosugi, M.; Kameyama, M.; Migita, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1983**, 927–928; g) Guram, A.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 7901–7902; h) Louie, J.; Hartwig, J. F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 3609–3612; i) Guram, A.; Rennels, R.; Buchwald, S. L.; Driver, M.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 4708–4709; j) Paul, F.; Baranano, D.; Richards, S.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 3626–3633; k) Driver, M.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 7217–7218; l) Driver, M.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 8232–8245; m) Wagaw, S.; Rennels, R.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 8451–8458; n) Louie, J.; Driver, M.; Hamann, B.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 1268–1273; o) Mann G.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 62, 5413–5418; p) Old, D. W.; Wolfe, J.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 9722–9723; q) Hamann, B.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 7369–7370; r) Kawatsura, M.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 1473–1478; s) Huang, X.; Buchwald, S. L. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3417–3419; t) Kosugi, M.; Kameyama, M.; Migita, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1983**, 927–928; (u) Guram, A. S.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 7901–7902; (v) Guram, A. S.; Runnels, R. A.; Buchwald, S. L. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1995**, *107*, 1456–1459; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1995**, *34*, 1348–1350; (x) Khartulyari, A. S.; Maier, M. E. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 317–324; (y) Satyanarayana, G.; Maier, M. E. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 356–363.

(6) For some reviews, see: (a) Kakiuchi, F.; Chatani, N. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2003**, *345*, 1077–1101; (b) Dunina, V. V.; Gorunova, O. N. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *73*, 309–350; (c) K. Godula, D. Sames, *Science* **2006**, *312*, 67–72.

(7) For some recent illustrative examples, see: (a) Ohno, H.; Yamamoto, M.; Iuchi, M.; Tanaka, T. *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *117*, 5233–5236; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 5103–5106; (b) Bertrand, M. B.; Wolfe, J. P. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3073–3075; (c) Rudolph, A.; Rackelmann, N.; Lautens, M. *Angew. Chem.* **2007**, *119*, 1507–1510; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 1485–1488.

(8) (a) Reddy, A. G. K.; Krishna, J.; Satyanarayana, G. *Synlett* **2011**, 1756–1760; (b) Krishna, J.; Reddy, A. G. K.; Mahendar, L.; Ramulu, B. V.; Satyanarayana, G. *Synlett* **2012**, *23*, 375–380; (c) Suchand, B.; Krishna, J.; Ramulu, B. V.; Dibyendu, D.; Reddy, A. G. K.; Mahendar, L.; Satyanarayana, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 3861–3864; (d) Reddy, A. G. K.; Satyanarayana, G. *Tetrahedron* **2012**, *68*, 8003–8010; (e) Reddy, A. G. K.; Krishna, J.; Satyanarayana, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 5635–5640; (f) Mahendar, L.; Krishna, J.; Reddy, A. G. K.; Ramulu, B. V.; Satyanarayana, G. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 628–631; (g) Krishna, J.; Reddy, A. G. K.; Satyanarayana, G. *Synlett* **2013**, *24*, 967–972.

(9) (a) Kozlowski, M. C.; Morgan, B. J. Elizabeth C. Linton, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 3193–3207; (b) Bringmann, G.; Gulder, T.; Gulder, T. A. M.; Breuning, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 563–639.

(10) Fukuyama, Y.; Asakawa, Y. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1991**, 2737–2741.

(11) Fukuyama, Y.; Toyota, M.; Asakawa, Y. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1988**, 1341–1342.

(12) (a) Hallock, Y. F.; Manfredi, K. P.; Blunt, J. W.; Cardellina, J. H. II; Schäffer, M.; Gulden, K.-P.; Bringmann, G.; Lee, A. Y.; Clardy, J.; Francois, G.; Boyd, M. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 6349–6355; (b) Bringmann, G.; Gulden, K.-P.; Hallock, Y. F.; Manfredi, K. P.; Cardellina, J. H. II; Boyd, M. R.; Kramer, B.; Fleischhauer, J. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 7807–7814.

(13) Marchlewski, L. *J. Prakt. Chem.* **1899**, *60*, 84–90; (b) Adams, R.; Geissman, T. A.; Edwards, J. D. *Chem. Rev.* **1960**, *60*, 555–574.

(14) (a) Wang, N.-G.; Zhou, L.-F.; Guan, M.-H.; Lei, H.-P. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **1987**, *20*, 21–24; (b) Yu, Y.-W. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **1987**, *20*, 65–78; (c) Matlin, S. A.; Zhou, R.; Bialy, G.; Blye, R. P.; Naqvi, R. H.; Lindberg, M. C. *Contraception* **1985**, *31*, 141–149; (d) Lindberg, M. C.; Naqvi, R. H.; Matlin, S. A.; Zhou, R. H.; Bialy, G.; Blye, R. P. *Int. J. Androl.* **1987**, *10*, 619–623.

(15) (a) Band, V.; Hoffer, A. P.; Band, H.; Rhinehardt, A. E.; Knapp, R. C.; Matlin, S. A.; Anderson, D. J. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **1989**, *32*, 273–277. (b) Benz, C. C.; Keniry, M. A.; Ford, J. M.; Townsend, A. J.; Cox, F. W.; Palayoor, S.; Matlin, S. A.; Hait, W. N.; Cowan, K. H. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **1990**, *37*, 840–847.

(16) Heidrich, J. E.; Hunsaker, L. A.; Vander Jagt, D. L.; David, L. *IRCS Med. Sci.: Libr. Compend.* **1983**, *11*, 304.

(17) CCDC number for the compound **2a**: CCDC 910647.

(18) For reviews of intermediate palladium species with higher oxidation states, see: (a) Muñiz. K. *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 9576–9588; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9412–9423. (b) Desai, L. V.; Malik, H. A.; Sanford, M. S. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 1141–1144. (c) Xu, L.-M.; Li, B.-J.; Yang, Z.; Shi, Z-J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *39*, 712–733. (d) Canty, A. J. *Platinum Metals Rev.* **1993**, *37*, 2–7. (e) Powers, D. C.; Y. Xiao, D. Y.; Geibel, M. A. L.; Ritter. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 14530–14536. (f) Sehnal, P.; Taylor, R. J. K.; Fairlamb, I. J. S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 824–889. (j) Wu, X-F,; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 1–35. (h) Zhang, H.; Lei, A. *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 8745–8754. (i) Fortuño, C.; Martín. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 5493−5506. (j) Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 174–238.